

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,275		12/23/2003	Vaidyanathan Balasubramaniam	071469-0306881	4465
909	7590	05/09/2006			
		THROP SHAW PI	TTMAN, LLP		
P.O. BOX 1 MCLEAN,		02		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				2813	
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<∽
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/743,275	BALASUBRAMANIAM ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Thanh T. Nguyen	2813	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 M</u>	arch 2006.	,	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.			
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) 25-33 is/are withdraw			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			٠
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-14 and 16-24</u> is/are rejected.			•
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			•
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)☐ objected to by the	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	·)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			
	application from the International Bureau		ed in this reading stage	
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.	
Attachmer	nt(s)		·	
· ==	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims 25-33 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 6/30/05. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-14, 16-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-9, 11-12, 14, 16-18, 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhu et al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 20050079710) in view of Suzuki (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2001/0048981).

Art Unit: 2813

Referring to figures 2-5J, Zhu teaches a method for removing photoresist from a substrate comprising:

disposing said substrate in a plasma processing system (100), said substrate having a dielectric layer (OSG/cap) formed thereon with said photoresist (PR) overlying said dielectric Layer (OSG/cap), wherein said photoresist provides a mask for etching a feature into said dielectric Layer (see figures 2-5j),

introducing a process gas comprising N_xO_y , wherein x and y are integers greater than or equal to unity (see figures 2, paragraph# 25+);

forming a plasma from said process gas in said plasma processing system (206, see figures 2, paragraph# 25+); and

removing said photoresist from said substrate with said plasma (208, see figures 2, paragraph# 29+);.

Regarding to claims 3, 16. introducing of said process gas further comprises introducing an inert gas (see paragraph# 25).

Regarding to claims 4, 17, introducing of said inert gas comprises introducing a Noble gas (see paragraph# 25).

Regarding to claims 5. disposing of said substrate having said dielectric Layer comprises disposing said substrate having a low dielectric constant dielectric Layer (see paragraph# 23).

Regarding to claims 6. disposing of said substrate having said dielectric Layer comprises disposing said substrate having at Least one of a porous dielectric Layer, and a non-porous dielectric Layer (see paragraph# 23).

Art Unit: 2813

Regarding to claims 7. disposing of said substrate having said dielectric Layer comprises disposing said substrate having said dielectric Layer including at Least one of an organic material, and an inorganic material (see paragraph# 23).

Regarding to claims 8. disposing of said substrate having said dielectric Layer comprises disposing said substrate having said dielectric Layer including an inorganic-organic hybrid material (see paragraph# 23).

Regarding to claims 9. disposing of said substrate having said dielectric layer comprises disposing said substrate having said dielectric layer including an oxidized organo silane (see paragraph# 23).

Regarding to claims 11. disposing of said substrate having said dielectric Layer comprises disposing said substrate having said dielectric Layer including a silicate-based material (see paragraph# 23).

Regarding to claims 12. disposing of said substrate having said dielectric Layer comprises disposing said substrate having said dielectric Layer including a collective film including silicon, carbon, and oxygen(see paragraph# 23).

Regarding to claims 14. A method of forming a feature in a dielectric layer on a substrate comprising:

forming said dielectric Layer (OSG)on said substrate,

forming a photoresist pattern (PR) on said dielectric Layer;

transferring said photoresist pattern to said dielectric Layer by etching (see fig. 3a+), and

Art Unit: 2813

removing said photoresist from said dielectric Layer using a plasma formed with a process gas comprising N_xO_y , wherein x and y are integers greater than or equal to unity (see fig. 2+, paragraph# 25).

Regarding to claims 18. removing of said photoresist is performed for a first period of time (see paragraph# 2+).

Regarding to claims 23. transferring of said photoresist pattern to said dielectric layer by etching is performed in a plasma processing system, and said removing of said photoresist from said dielectric Layer is performed in said plasma processing system(100, see paragraph# 25+, figures 2+).

Regarding to claims 24. transferring of said photoresist pattern to said dielectric layer by etching is performed in a plasma processing system, and said removing of said photoresist from said dielectric Layer is performed in another plasma processing system (see figures 2+, paragraph# 25+).

However, the reference does not teach introducing a process gas comprising at least one of NO or NO₂ to remove the photoresist.

Suzuki teaches introducing the process gas comprising at least one of NO or NO₂ then plasmazing the gas to remove the photoresist (see paragraph# 44).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the requisite art at the time of the invention was made would removing the photoresist by using plasma gas of NO or NO₂ in process of Zhu et al. as taught by Suzuki because the process is known in the art to remove the photoresist or any residue that left on the surface of the substrate.

Art Unit: 2813

Claims 10, 13, 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhu et al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 20050079710) in view of Suzuki (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2001/0048981) as applied to claims 1, 3-9, 11-12, 14, 16-18, 23-24, further in view of Mukherjee-Roy et al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0216026) and Bao et a. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0130411).

Zhu et al. in view of Suzuki teaches a method of stripping photoresist film on the organosilicate glass dielectric layer. However, the reference does not teach the dielectric Layer including at Least one of hydrogen silsesquioxane, and methyl silsesquioxane, disposing hydrogen in collective film, removing of photoresist determined by endpoint detection comprises utilizing optical emission spectroscopy.

Mukherjee-Roy et al. teaches a method of forming an opening in the dielectric layer wherein the dielectric Layer including at Least one of hydrogen silsesquioxane, and methyl silsesquioxane, disposing hydrogen in collective film (see paragraph# 25, and claim 5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the requisite art at the time of the invention was made would form dielectric Layer including at Least one of hydrogen silsesquioxane, and methyl silsesquioxane, disposing hydrogen in collective film in process of Zhu et al. as taught by Mukherjee-Roy et al. because the low dielectric constants to prevent problems with capacitance, cross talk, between adjacent conducting layers and elements.

Bao et al. teaches removing of photoresist determined by endpoint detection comprises utilizing optical emission spectroscopy (see paragraphs# 45, 54).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the requisite art at the time of the invention was made to removing the layer determined by endpoint detection comprises utilizing optical emission spectroscopy in process of Zhu et al. as taught by Bao et al. because the process would sense when the removing process complete to terminate the flow of the plasma gas.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1695, or by Email via address Thanh.Nguyen@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:00AM to 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2813

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956 (See MPEP 203.08).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to thy Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thanh Nguyen

Patent Examiner

Patent Examining Group 2800

TTN